

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:		5. DATE OF REQUEST: Jan 3, 2013	NEED RESPONSE BY: Jan 11, 2013
2. REQUESTOR NAME:		6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: San Luis Obispo County	
3. PHONE NO.:		7. SUBJECT: ES Eligibility after verifs postponed and not provided	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 12-74 and ACL 10-32		8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i> NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). MPP 63-301.548, MPP 63-301.523, ACL 12-74, and ACL 10-32	

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

Per ACL 12-74 HH's who reapply or have their eligibility restored after verifications were postponed for ES services are not entitled to ES per ACL 10-32. Is this true only for the month immediately following a 1 or 2 month ES certification period?

Example: Client applies for ES CF Dec 16 and CWD issues Dec & Jan benefits. Client does not submit verifications and case closes 1/31. If client reapplies in Feb they would not be entitled to ES. What if they reapply in March? Does a 30 day break in aid make a difference? The same case can be restored in Feb if all needed verifs are submitted?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

Client potentially eligible to ES CF if 30 day break in aid. Client eligible to restoration after discontinuance for not submitting postponed verifications when eligible to ES.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

Per MPP 63-301.548 and ACL 12-74, the household is not eligible to Expedited Service (ES) in February during the 30-day period following discontinuance due to the restoration policy (ACL 10-32). The household is not considered an applicant. The household is not eligible to ES in March due to not completing postponed verifications from the last time the household was certified. A 30-day break in aid (referenced in MPP 301.523 but revised by ACL 12-74) does not change this. Additionally, the household will not be eligible to ES at any point should the household reapply in any future month as long as the postponed verifications were never completed.

However, if the household submits the verifications in February, the county may restore (no entitlement to ES) the household's benefits and issue the 3rd month's benefits within 5 working days from receipt of the verifications or by the first

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED: 1-03-13	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: 2-01-13
---------------------------	--

**CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION (Continued)**

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: January 3, 2013	NEED RESPONSE BY: January 11, 2013
2. REQUESTOR NAME: Suzanne Garcia	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: San Luis Obispo County	
3. PHONE NO.: (805) 781-1845	7. SUBJECT: ES Eligibility after verifs postponed and not provided	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): ACL 12-74 and ACL 10-32	8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i> NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). MPP 63-301.548; MPP 63-301.523; ACL 12-74; and ACL 10-32	

working day of the third month, whichever is later. Per ACL 10-32, the county should also let the household know they have the option to file a new application rather than restore benefits if it would be more advantageous and convenient for the household to do so.